[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Grade Six (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 6: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 6: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)

☑ -d, O9 8.8se M0 8.8 7] T /toe M0 8.8 7] T /toe M0 8.8 7] T /toe M0 8.8 7]BElcm 5t.MC BT /h/toe M2YT /Ss2 558 Tmn6-Ge 6: Assessment Type(s



Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.sEMC /Lbl ID 31 >>BDC (who tADC .5 /Lbl <</MCID 22 >>B\240who tAD49the growth of)Tj EMC /Sty

Page Last Modified: 08/14/2020

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

Page Last Modified: 08/14/2020

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 08/14/2020

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

•

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 08/14/2020

Grade From s)1 Tf 24.15EMC /T53] ro2	Grade To	Subject	Measure Course-Specific	State or Regents	Locally-developed Course-Specific	Third Party
				☑		
				☑		

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through th use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performe the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer Observer(s) [Optional]	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	0% (N/A)	

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for prinicpals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

- Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/progra where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- State assessment(s) or

  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, ss

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2020

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used a evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice r

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2020

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensurll p.364 TD (regued tePs<0n2c ecreanj Er70dp

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	Grade configurations for which Peer School Visits will be used
90%	10%	0% [N/A]	(No Response)

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purpoTj f<</M 0MC /Lbl <</MCID 41 >>BD4 //MCIle education oed s4ndenl visit by superin of an ls ae33 >>BDC 92 purnuAan ls armed by t for n evaluat6ve purpoTj f<</M 0MCSe education 39 0 DooccurD 40lsupeardree fd /LBo</MCID 33 >>BDC 8 0 0 8 470configurations fossure t

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2020

PRINCIPALS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.	SUBGROUP If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
Subgroup of Principals	Tenured Principals	0	1
Subgroup of Principals	Non Tenured Principals	0	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

PRINCIPALS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.	SUBGROUP If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
Subgroup of Principals	Tenured Principals	1	0
Subgroup of Principals	Non Tenured Principals	1	0

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 06/19/2020

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.	SUBGROUP If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Page Last Modified: 08/14/2020

Page Last Modified: 08/14/2020

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Rome City School District
Principal APPR Appeal Process

CHALLENGES IN AN APPEAL:
Appeals are limited to those identified by Education Law §3012-D, as follows:
(1) The substance of the annual professional performance review;
(2) The school districts or board of cooperative educational services' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews;
(3) The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews;
(4 Compliance witmCToC applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional ody <</MCID 8 >>BD/MCIDios regula G - Ap plans

c. The parties shall have the ability to be represented by either legal counsel, union representative, or appear pro se;
d. The parties shall exchange an anticipated witness list no less than two (2) business days before the scheduled hearing date;
e. The principal shall have the prerogative to determine whether the appeal shall be open to the public or not;
f. The district shall have the opportunity to present its case supporting the rating or improvement plan and then the principal may refute the presentation. These may include the presentation of material, witnesses and/or affidavits in lieu of testimony.

DECISION

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) business days from the close of the hearing. Such a decision shall be a final administrative decision. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. The reviewer must either, affirm, set aside, or modify a district's rating or improvement plan. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the district representative.

EXCLUSIVITY OF SECTION 3012-D APPEAL PROCEDURE

This appeal procedure shall constitute the means for initiating, reviewing, and resolving challenges to a principal performance review or improvement plan. A principal may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan.

OTHER

1. The district and bargaining unit for the principal shall maintain a list of no less than three (3) mutually agreed upon hearing officers.
2. Appeals shall be assigned to hearing officers on a rotational basis, alphabetically by last name. The district or the administrator has the right to request a move to the next person on the list.
3. The cost of a hearing officer shall be the responsibility of the district.
4. In addition to any further limitations agreed to within the APPR agreement, an evaluation shall 2-DnpA tionreed to within the APPRCt1l <<Dga54

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 08/28/2020

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp o each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

2459_001.pdf

Rome City School District
Teacher Improvement Plan

Goal:

7R SURYLGH DQ RSSRUWXQLW\ IRU D WHDFKHU ZKR KDV EHHQ
WKH UDWLQJ RI ³HIIHFWLYH´ RU ³KLJKO\ HIIHFWLYH ´

Description:

- A Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is intended to help educators improve professionally by providing support in order to improve job performance. It is not intended to be used as a disciplinary tool or to gather evidence to terminate an educator.
- An improvement plan may be designed to address performance concerns at any time; however, DQ\ WHDFKHU ZKR UHFHLYHV D ³GHYHORSLQJ´ RU ³LQHIIH end of the year evaluation will be subject to a formal Teacher Improvement Plan.
- Confidentiality will be maintained at all times.
- The Teacher Improvement Plan is developed in collaboration with the educator and the administrator(s). At the request of the educator, a union representative may participate at the initial meeting and/or at any juncture in the process.
- The development of the TIP should be a professional, constructive conversation identifying goals as well as solutions to problems and resources to help the educator achieve the goals.
- It is strongly recommended that the teacher keep a log of completed activities with reflections.
- In order for the TIP process to be effective, all parties need to follow the plan as written. A teacher may request additional observations by alternative administrators.

The Teacher Improvement Plan will include:

-

Rome City School District

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN

7 H D F K H U ¶ V 1		Tenured: [ ] Yes [ ] No	
School Building:		School Year:	
TIP Creation Meeting Dates: 1st	2nd	3rd	
Dates of Implementation:	Beginning date:	End date:	Date submitted:
Administrator submitting the TIP:			Title:

Check specific focus area(s) of Professional Practice Standards to be improved:

X	Content Knowledge	X	Student Assessment
X	Planning & Preparation	X	Collaboration/PLCs
X	Instructional Delivery	X	Reflective & Responsive Practice
X	Classroom Management	X	Fulfills Professional Obligations
X	Student Development		

Specific goals for improvement in each area:

Focus Area #1

Goals for Improvement:

Strategies and Activities (with timelines if applicable):

Indicators of Progress:

Supports and Resources:

Focus Area #2

Goals for Improvement:

Strategies and Activities (with timelines if applicable):

Indicators of Progress:

Supports and Resources:

Focus Area #3

Goals for Improvement:

Strategies and Activities (with timelines if applicable):

Indicators of Progress:

Supports and Resources:

Evaluation Procedures:

Scheduled dates for review and feedback:

_____	To	_____	Meeting:	_____
_____	To	_____	Meeting:	_____
_____	To	_____	Meeting:	_____
_____	To	_____	Meeting	_____

Signatures:

(G X F D W R U (Please print) G € %‡ Á !f± 1 %v SA%‡ ’[ð ` V G € %‡ Á³ Wa • Á !f` 0 D P

COLLABORATIVE POST MEETING REFLECTION LOG

Date:_____

Meeting Comments/Recommendations:

Date:_____

Meeting Comments/Recommendations:

Date:_____

Meeting Comments/Recommendations:

Principal's Signature and date

Rome City School District

Principal Improvement Plan Process

Upon rating a principal ineffective, a principal improvement plan must be developed. The improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced no later than ten (10) school days after the start of a school year. The superintendent or designee, in conjunction with the principal, shall develop an improvement plan that contains:

1. A clear description of the deficiencies to be addressed by the improvement plan;
2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements;
3. Specific improvement actions/steps/activities;
4. A reasonable timeline for achieving improvement;
5. Required and accessible resources to achieve goals;
6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings that shall occur throughout the year to discuss progress. There shall be at least two meetings during the year, the first between December 1 and December 15 and the second between March 1 and March 15. A written summary of each such progress meeting shall be given within 5 business days following each meeting;
7. A clear statement in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including demonstrating improvement;
8. A formative, final written summative assessment of the principal's performance with an opportunity for comments by the principal.

Name of

Sch

Def

Improvement

Action Steps/Activities

Timeline for

Resp

Dates of formal/informal meeting):

Dec

March:

Evidence provided for Goal Ach

Assessment Summ

including verification

after th

with the oppor

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission for your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted for approval constitutes the school district's entire agreement regarding the implementation of the APPR plan and that such plan has been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2015 and Subpart 30-3 of the rules of the board of regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), if applicable, also certify that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be annually evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), if applicable, also certify that the terms of the LEA's collective bargaining agreements that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memorandum of understandings or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, interfere with, or impede the implementation of the APPR plan; and that no material changes will be made to the plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded by the Commissioner, any increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, agree to make the following assurances with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to each teacher/principal his/her composite and rating on the state-provided category, if available, and the locally-selected measures in each subcategory, as well as his/her composite and rating on the overall APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year, with respect to each teacher or principal, provided, however, that in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the APPR scores will be reported to the State education department no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan is approved by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a timely and complete prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual student growth scores and the overall growth rating for each classroom teacher and building principal, consistent with the Commissioner's regulations;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal receiving the state-provided growth student to have assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluators certified on or after the requirements in the regulations including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that a principal who receives an overall rating of "ineffective" in any school year will receive an improvement plan or a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee to the extent of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators including independent third party evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified or credentialed as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the state-provided guidelines and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of appeals brought by teachers in the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards, Domains or the selected practice rubric will be assessed at least once a year and assess the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable NYSED Leadership Standards, Domains of the selected practice rubric will be assessed at least once a year and assess the total number of annual observations.